

Progettare Insieme

Tecnologia Organizzazione Lavoro

What policies, initiatives or programmes can support attracting, embedding and reshaping Global Value Chains in regions?

Patrizio Bianchi and Sandrine Labory

OECD and European Commission Project:

*Broadening innovation policy: new insights for regions and cities
Building, embedding and reshaping global value chains*

FIRST DRAFT, 27 AUGUST 2018

Introduction

This paper outlines the regional industrial policies most conducive to GVC reshaping and emergence, on the basis of an analysis of concrete experiences in regions in the OECD countries and outside. It starts by examining the current changes in the competitive context of industries, namely the new phase of globalisation together with the fourth industrial revolution, to outline the implied structural changes most likely in GVCs, and some already occurring. This is useful to define the most appropriate industrial policies at regional level, that are confronted with some successful concrete experiences in regions.

Overall, what emerges is that policies should aim at developing productive capabilities as already stressed in the literature, but also networking different specialisations in order to exploit complementarities, both within and outside regions. The governance of the policy process is also important, and has to be participative, and policy coherence is another feature that we stress as essential in times of deep and complex structural changes not only in the economy, but also in the society and culture.

This paper does not identify the policy for building, embedding and reshaping global value chains, in the sense of a set of instruments that can be successfully implemented in any context or more specifically, region. Regions are heterogeneous, and each require a specific set of instruments to develop the capabilities necessary for the reshaping of existing GVCs and the emergence of new ones.

We define industrial policy as a policy aimed at favouring structural changes, implementing various sets of instruments (Bianchi and Labory, 2006, 2011a, b). Instruments comprise support to investment, access to finance, promotion of export and of innovation, but also instruments aimed at providing the conditions for structural change and industrial

development to take place, including the provision of public goods (infrastructure) but also human capital, without which firms do not find the skills they require. It is not about 'picking the winner(s)' but about providing the conditions for industrial development (Bianchi and Labory, 2011, 2018a, b).

Various authors have highlighted the importance of industrial policy, particularly in time of deep changes in the competitive context (for example, Warwick, OECD, 2013, for a review). In times of globalisation and industrial revolution, industrial policy is necessary because it is not only products and processes that are renewed and changed, but also the society, culture and institutions. For this purpose, industrial policy is not about specific subsidies but about a comprehensive set of instruments and actions that a government designs to position a territory in the new global geography of production.

Our interpretation of the changes in the competitive context is what we have called 'digital globalisation' (Bianchi and Labory, 2018a), namely a timeless globalisation where flows of data become prominent with respect to flows of goods and tangible capital. The capacity for data collection and analysis becomes key assets for firms and regions, as information and knowledge can be accessed from any place in the world in real time, and networks of individuals and organisations sharing and collectively creating knowledge can operate on a global basis, maintaining rapid communication and constant interaction.

Technological changes are however multiple, in different scientific fields and areas, that are often converging, creating opportunities to develop new products and new processes in many different sectors. Any sector can benefit from the new technologies, and any firm in any place, if it has access to knowledge together with absorptive capacity. The latter are in great part determined by the local conditions: the presence of rapid and cheap communication infrastructure, as well as human capital with appropriate skills.

An important characteristic that has to be taken into account for effectiveness is that regional industrial policy should constitute a process, where policy-making aims at promoting specific development paths, providing the conditions (tangible and intangible assets) for the regional ecosystem to evolve in this orientation.

The identification of the proper trajectory for the future is difficult, but it is a political choice. This implies that different possible trajectories have to be contemplated, making choices and preparing for learning and adjustments in case of failure.

This process should involve regional stakeholders so as to realise a diagnosis of the regional ecosystem, outlining its strengths and weaknesses, and to choose a development path thanks to a shared vision of future developments.

Policy should indeed try to anticipate changes rather than wait for their realisation and react to them. The main reason is that structural changes take time to be realised, since they arise in the long-term, while once shocks or changes have occurred one has to react quickly. Perfect anticipation is difficult, but a policy process defining a vision and regularly adjusting or revising it according to new knowledge learnt in a dialogue with regional and external stakeholders can help provide the conditions for regional GVCs to upgrade or emerge.

Policy evaluation is important in such a dynamic context, so as to monitor results and learn and adjust instruments accordingly.

The cases analysed in this paper show that such an involvement and dialogue with stakeholders contributes to the success of industrial policy.

The key difficulty in this context is that policy jurisdiction (the region, the territory) is much smaller than the area of action and perspective of the businesses to which the policy is aimed.

Hence the importance of policy coherence, particularly between levels of government intervention (local, regional, national and supranational).

This paper is structured as follows. Section 1 examines the changes in the competitive context, namely 'digital globalisation' and its effects on GVCs. Section 2 derives implications for industrial policy and points to the key importance of the regional level. Section 3 outlines four main policy elements for successful regional industrial policy. Section 4 analyses different concrete cases, especially in the Emilia Romagna (ER) region. The last section concludes.

1. Industrial revolution and transformation of GVCs

Summary: 'digital globalisation' is the consequence of the ongoing fourth industrial revolution, with new technological system and new production system. Globalisation continues but it is characterised by large growth of data flows, not so much of product flows as in the past decades. As a consequence, GVCs are reshaping and emerging, in ways that have to be further researched, but with a number of clear tendencies: smart manufacturing makes the strategy of searching for low labour-cost territories less important, while territories with dense knowledge and competencies, supported by appropriate infrastructure and institutions, provide the conditions for GVC reshaping and emergence.

We argue that globalisation has entered a new phase that can be called 'digital globalisation', mainly as a result of the structural changes induced by the ongoing fourth industrial revolution.

New technological system

GVCs are deeply transforming in all industries and cannot be analysed without consideration of these structural changes (Bianchi and Labory, 2017, 2018a).

Industrial revolutions are primarily characterised by technological innovations, in many different fields. For instance, the first industrial revolution had water-powered mechanisation processes, Cort's process for the use of iron, while the second industrial revolution had the Bessemer process for making steel, Goodyear's vulcanisation process, the discovery of aniline purple, the first synthetic plastic, etc. All these technological innovations enabled the upgrading of existing products (for instance, the affordable car produced by Ford in the mass production system at the beginning of the second industrial revolution) and the emergence of new industrial sectors (such as electricity generation and distribution, as well as railways, in the second industrial revolution).

Today technological developments are happening in various fields, such as biotechnologies, genomics, new materials, robotics, nanotechnologies, renewable energy, and so on. Often these new technologies converge to produce new products and processes. Examples include the sequencing of human genome, which has opened new opportunities not only in the health sector; the mechanisms with which genes, proteins and enzymes function is better understood and therefore more targeted treatments of diseases are made possible.

Another example regards nanotechnology, which is used in various fields of science such as organic chemistry, molecular biology, energy, environment science, semiconductor physics, food safety, etc. It allows the creation of new materials and devices with a vast range of applications, such as in nanomedicine, nanoelectronics, biomaterials energy production, and consumer products. Industrial applications are numerous, ranging from the development of new materials to nanostructured solar cells for energy generation.

A key technological development concerns the new and upgraded ICTs, allowing everything and person to be connected. They determine the essential infrastructure for economic and social activities today, namely Networks, Connectivity and Digitalisation, as well as Big Data (NCD&BD), since they have both direct and indirect impact on industry, the economy, the society and culture. Their direct impact is the development of new products, from computers to smartphone, smart glasses and so on. Their indirect impact on industry is through smart manufacturing, since they are allowing new production systems, with high automation, as well as new market places, with platforms. Society and culture are also affected since individuals change not only their consuming habits but also their culture with their constant use of smartphones and connected devices.

Artificial intelligence is making rapid and impressive advances thanks to big data analytics in super computers, able to collect and treat enormous amounts of data. “Big data” refers to the spectacular growth of the digital universe, as the society, organisations, and people are increasingly interconnected and “always on”.

Data science is another example of technological and scientific convergence. The converging scientific fields making data science are database technology and data mining, machine learning and artificial intelligence, complex system theory and network science, statistics and statistical physics, information retrieval and text mining, natural language processing and applied mathematics.

Applications are numerous, both in the private and in the public sector: goods and services can be better personalised, advertising better targeted; in the public sector, big data can be used to improve the policy process, increase citizens’ participation in decisions, empowering citizens more generally.

The field of robotics is also generating many innovations using Big data to develop artificial intelligence. As a result, robots’ learning and perception improve and these machines are increasingly used in many sectors, including health (assistance to the elderly and the disabled), services (robots assisting in house cleaning, restaurant services, etc.) as well as in production (as most simple tasks in manufacturing are increasingly being performed by robots). Artificial intelligence also derives from converging scientific fields and technologies, namely IT, Mathematics, cognitive sciences, neurobiology and philosophy. Applications of AI techniques include autonomous vehicles (such as drones and self-driving cars), medical diagnosis, search engines (such as Google search), online assistants (such as Siri), image recognition in photographs, spam filtering, and targeting online advertisements (Ross, 2013; Kelly, 2016).

New production system

The technological and scientific developments that are in many ways converging are making new production processes possible. Economies of scale are maximised in the factory, thanks to the use of autonomous robots, integrated computational materials engineering (computer models of products and production processes, which can be tested even before their physical creation), digital manufacturing, digital internet and flexible automation. Economies of scope are maximised too, since consumers are also directly connected to the factory, and can send their specific requests on products, which the digital factory processes and produces by sending precise instructions to the connected robots and machines.

A new prevailing production system, or manufacturing regime, thus emerges (Bianchi and Labory, 2017a), characterised by high economies of scale and economies of scope, or mass customisation. In addition, 3D printing is likely to have a deep impact on market competition, since firms will be able to send product specifications anywhere in the world, even in remote places. Smaller firms realising niche products will find it easier to manufacture their products, even in small batches, in any global market, if they can print them even in remote places. The

technology may develop as much as making it possible for consumers to print their own products, inducing industrial goods companies to revise their business models.

Each industrial revolution is associated with a particular manufacturing regime. The first industrial revolution was the shift from craft production to the factory system, the second industrial revolution was associated with the mass production system, which had high economies of scale but low economies of scope (low variety of products). The flexible production system, still exploiting high economies of scale but with increasing product variety (hence economies of scope), can be argued to be the production system of the third industrial revolution. In the fourth industrial revolution, mass customisation, simultaneously exploiting high economies of scale and of scope, volume combined with variety, prevails (Table 1).

Table 1. Manufacturing regimes and Industrial Revolutions

Volume	High	Mass production	Customised mass production	
	Medium	Flexible production	Customised individual production (craft)	
	Low		Low	High
		Variety		

In the mass customisation system, time to market substantially reduces not only thanks to the flexibility of adjustment described above, but also thanks to more effective and rapid development of prototypes.

Consequences for GVCs: GVC reshaping and emergence

In the last decades, offshoring production to low-cost countries appeared as a good strategy to both reduce production costs and access to emerging markets, especially in Asia.

However, offshoring also created costs and disadvantages: quality problems often appeared, and the advantages of having R&D and manufacturing produced in a single place, hence with strong communication, creating externalities and ‘industrial commons’ in the territory was lost (Bailey and de Propris, 2014). Some managers have argued that offshoring can also lead the whole business to be offshored (Jeff Immelt, see Bianchi and Labory, 2018a, p. 63).

Following digital globalisation, many production chains (or networks) will remain global. However, with smart manufacturing they will take a different form. In principle production can be done in any location, since the digital factory can be replicated anywhere. However, it is also costly to set up, requiring large investments in robots and machines, and appropriate conditions in the territory where it locates: particularly communication infrastructure, as well as transport and energy infrastructure, but also skills. The digital factory can take order from

consumers located anywhere in the world, provided they are connected. It can rapidly produce large amounts of very differentiated goods. How much the digital factory will be replicated in different markets in the world will depend on demand and production capacity of single factories, and transport costs.

It is likely that simple assembly tasks will be integrated in the digital factory, making the strategy of locating assembly in low-labour cost countries less convenient. However, production may require peculiar parts and components, that are better produced by specialised firms. Insertion in GVCs will still be an important strategy for the latter type of firms.

The key assets for firms become its knowledge base, its technologies, experiences, together with its capacity to identify market trends, consumers' tastes, and its capacity to innovate, to renew products and services. The necessary knowledge base becomes enormous, made of data on the different markets, the different tastes and needs of consumers in different parts of the world, as well as the new technologies, the behaviour of rivals and firms in other markets: being able to gather and analyse these data is a key asset for firms to be able to provide the right products to the right consumers, and in real time.

The digitalisation of the different phases of production processes favours the organisation of production on a global scale, by distributing production phases in different countries, exploiting each country's comparative advantages, together with a strong unity in data sharing, codification, management lines and long-term strategies.

Industrial organisation is likely to become more varied, according to sectors' characteristics (product, demand, technology, etc.). As robots and machines connected via the Internet diffuse, many firms will stop looking for low cost labour in developing countries, so that reshoring might be observed, especially when distance decreases dynamic efficiency.

Unless developing countries develop capacity for pre and post manufacturing phases. China is a country where many multinationals have set up manufacturing divisions in order to exploit low labour costs. Not only are these costs progressively increasing, as the Chinese economy develops, but also the country is realising massive investments in new technologies, infrastructure and innovation capacity. Territories only relying on low labour costs are likely to have very limited development prospects. In fact, some authors have stressed that many firms investing in China have obtained greater benefits than low-cost labour. For instance, Nahm and Steinfeld (2014) argue that US and European innovators of wind and solar energy technologies have found in China the manufacturing capabilities that they miss in their home country. More precisely, Chinese engineers were able to develop manufacturing processes for the ideas in a both rapid and efficient manner. This innovative manufacturing capability therefore appears to be a distinctive competence and competitive advantage, also exploited by Japanese producers in the motorcycle industry (Ge and Fijumoto, 2004). It is also a key competence in this stage of the fourth industrial revolution, in order to transform scientific discoveries into new products and technologies.

Production organisation is already experiencing important changes. In particular, companies focus on high phases of the production process, namely and pre and post manufacturing. Manufacturing is increasingly performed by robots in smart factories, that can be located anywhere, provided there is access to energy, high capacity Internet and materials (McKinsey, 2015). Territories able to pool and develop key resources for pre and post-manufacturing phases will attract firms, which will be willing to locate their most value-creating activities in these areas if they have access to infrastructure, especially for high and rapid communication,

as well as low energy costs, and also innovative capacity, with highly qualified human capital and appropriate research facilities, namely hubs of knowledge creation, consisting in dense networks of universities, research centres, and other. In this manner, ensuring the availability of R&D capabilities is very important.

Investment in skills is also important because the most value-creating phases of production processes are those most intensive in skills. Firms are likely to localise therefore in territories with dense knowledge bases (manufacturing experience and R&D capabilities) and high skills, at medium to high levels. This means that governments have an important role to play in attracting and developing skills, ensuring a good living environment (territories paying attention to the environment and with social services) for attraction of talents, and institutional density: good education institutions networked with other institutions, as well as with businesses and other stakeholders, to provide an appropriate 'milieu' or fertile ground for learning, innovations and industrial applications to emerge.

Market interactions also change in the new production system. As already mentioned, consumers can directly interact with the factory system to ask for products with specific characteristics. There are also interactions between consumers, on online platforms, to share experience with products. In this context, the service content of products becomes more valuable. For example, consumers buy cars for the service a car provides, allowing to move across places, to transport heavy objects, and so on. Ownership of the car itself tends to be less important, as shown by the booming of car sharing services. The same is true for books, which many consumers buy and read online or on their electronic device, so that the tangible value of the book reduces relative to the intangible one.

To some extent, leading companies offer integrated solutions rather than specific products.¹

For this purpose, production processes are no longer linear processes where phases are sequentially performed but instant processes, whereby the smart manufacturing system captures the requests of consumers and provide answers in real time. The interaction between the producer and the consumer is no longer only based on the market transaction and is much more frequent, since firms can create and maintain communities of consumers who are kept loyal thanks to the proposal of updates, different versions, and targeted advertisement made possible by big data analytics.

Big data are the strategic assets of companies: in the online community they collect data on consumers, on their preferences, past choices and purchases, which become even richer if these data can be matched with data on other choices made by these consumers, in their travels, leisure activities, purchase of other products. The companies that collect such rich sets of big data, such as Google or Amazon, namely pure platform businesses, have large market power, and have become key market intermediaries, selling an ever-increasing range of products on their online platform.²

The role of territories in the fourth industrial revolution also lies there: a territory providing the appropriate infrastructure for the building of smart factories will attract businesses and will grow. This means having broad band, fast and high-capacity communication infrastructure; strong research and scientific capacity, in public and private research centres and universities, with which business can integrate to build the capacity to answer consumers' needs in real time; human capital, namely data scientists, engineers in various fields, as well as technicians, that can set up and work in the smart factories. As argued by Bianchi and Labory (2018a), a

¹ The management literature calls this 'servitization' (kowalkowski et al., 2017).

² The power of algorithm is discussed in Bianchi and Labory (2018), Chapter 4.

characteristic of the fourth industrial revolution is the integration between science and technology: these two fields were separate during the first industrial revolution, since technological developments arose without a scientific base; from the second industrial revolution science and technology increasingly interact.

Digital globalisation may offer new opportunities for peripheral regions, provided they invest in infrastructure, particularly communication (Bianchi and Labory, 2017b). The latter regions may attract leaders and insert in GVCs if they develop specific capabilities in industrial activities; or they may specialise in specific intermediary or final products and services, on the basis of specific competencies, which might be linked to the territory (e.g. environment favouring the production of particular agrofood products, or cultural heritage favouring tourism) or to human capital (specific knowledge and competencies accumulated through time, helped by the education system, e.g. in fashion industry like in Tuscany in Italy, or software industry in Dublin). These firms can interact with consumers located anywhere in the world, provided they have knowledge and competencies required to develop their own platform or to use existing platforms. Whatever their size however, firms have to develop capabilities to interact with numerous actors, not only consumers but also other firms (suppliers, producers with which they can develop complementarities in product research and development) and institutions (research and education in particular). Firms' main assets are no longer their realised products and physical capital (the 'work done' in the words of Smith, 1776), but their capability to collect and treat information, interact and create knowledge, so as to be able to adapt to the market (the 'work to be done' according to Smith, 1776).

The policy implication is that regional industrial policy should focus on the 'work to be done'. Using extreme stylisation we can claim that old industrial policies acted on the conditions for static competition in order to attract work done (with single actions such as subsidies, specific regulation), namely single production plants. In contrast, the new industrial policies act on the conditions for dynamic competition in order to favour the work to be done, namely the management and creative functions which govern the whole production process and the most strategic phases, pre and post manufacturing. For this purpose, it is necessary to create a smart and competent context, where knowledge is not only individually generated and acquired, but also collectively shared and transferred. This requires institutional-building, namely the construction of an educative and research infrastructure that could generate positive externalities for the growth of each part of the community, be they persons, firms and institutions, and that could generate and consolidate the systemic capacities that favour innovation and development. The case of the Emilia Romagna region below illustrates this type of policy.

However, more research is needed on how digital globalisation is likely to impact on GVCs and industrial organisation more generally, analysing different firms in various sectors.

2. Policies for the new GVCs: policies for industrial development (in a broad sense)

Summary: Given the attractiveness of territories that are hubs of knowledge and competencies, regional industrial policy becomes paramount to favour the reshaping and emergence of GVCs. This policy consists in sets of actions aimed at favouring structural changes, by overcoming a number of barriers to the emergence and reshaping of GVCs: skill deficit, lack of investment, lack of innovation and networking capacity.

2.1. Industrial development and territories in the era of digital globalisation

Digital globalisation, or globalisation together with the fourth industrial revolution, have important consequences for industrial development in territories.

First, **manufacturing is again a key activity** for countries' – and territories' – growth and development, mainly because manufacturing integrates with services: the service of part of products increases, as outlined in the previous section. In addition, the fourth industrial revolution is also characterised by a growing integration between science and production, and firms' relationships with research centres and universities become more numerous and dense, in open knowledge innovation models, which also rely on services to function.

The growth of services has been driven by the fourth industrial revolution, with its first phase of rapid and impressive development of ICTs, allowing the boom of new sectors and the changes of existing sectors, which could transform organisation and products thanks to the use of these new technologies.

Second, **territories have to become hubs of knowledge and competencies**, able to transform these knowledge and competencies into productive capacity, in order to attract firms and to be part of global networks of R&D and production and attract high-value phases of production. The institutional density of territories or institutional thickness in the words of Amin and Thrift (1994) is again crucial for industrial development.

Policy-makers have to understand where their territories stand in global value chains. In other words, what are the main sectors, what are the main distinctive competencies of firms and what position they have in global value chains, in order to identify the development path on which the territorial sectors are engaged, so as to either reinforce the path or embark them on new ones. Maintaining a specific path is, because the structural changes involved are generally less important in the former case. For example, the policy may aim at attracting external leaders for the regional SMEs in specific sectors, allowing them access to global distribution channels; if the regional industrial system comprises enterprises leading GVCs, the policy may aim at providing the conditions for the maintenance and development of the specific competencies of these firms (investment in infrastructure, education, links with universities, and so on). SMEs producing niche products also rely on specific knowledge and competencies; their specific product may be linked to the regional history and heritage, such as craftsmanship or know-how. In the latter case a policy action including the valorisation of the regional identity (territorial marketing) might be useful.

What policy instruments are necessary for this? Instruments must act on all the dimensions of the territorial ecosystem: firms' assets and capabilities (knowledge, R&D capacity, human capital, access to finance), infrastructure (transport, public goods, and communication infrastructure which is crucial in the fourth industrial revolution), but also society and territory: territorial planning to ensure good living conditions and smooth realisation of industrial activities, thanks to well-functioning institutions and infrastructure, and good living environment, possibly sustainable, so as to attract and keep human capital; social policy is also necessary, to ensure participation of the labour force, decent jobs and decent income, access to education and training. As already stressed, strengthening territorial identity through various actions including territorial marketing might be useful. This can be based on the valorisation of specific knowledge and competencies present in the region, such as industrial capabilities (mechanical engineering in the Emilia Romagna region, valorised through the creation of museums of some important firms like Ferrari and Ducati, as well as specific technical schools linked with firms). The valorisation of the quality of land and nature, or historical heritage can be useful when products are associated with them (agriculture and food, craftsmanship, tourism).

When knowledge access and exchange are key for economic development local societies and communities must have networking capabilities, because a society with strong interaction and absorptive capacity favours knowledge exchange and creation. In other words, the higher the social capital, the better.

Policy has to be pro-active: policy-makers have to try and anticipate changes, rather than wait for changes to take place and adapt. Adaptation to shock and structural changes is necessary but policy has to try and anticipate changes in order to prepare the territory for structural changes in desirable directions. For this purpose, choices have to be made, on the basis of strengths and weaknesses and the position of the regional industry in global value chains.

This is complex: a large amount of information must be collected and analysed, so that a dialogue with involved stakeholders is useful (participative governance). This dialogue is useful also to ensure that stakeholders share the chosen policy aims and favour the effectiveness of policy by making choices accordingly.

Third, **regional industrial policy** is the most important policy to implement, coherently in the multi-level governance framework.

When the whole economic system is changing, Industrial policy is not only justified by market failures. The latter have to be corrected with appropriate instruments. However, these corrections should be integrated in a vision, a strategy of industrial development so that all instruments used, namely those correcting market failures and those promoting structural changes, combine to embark the socio-economic system on specific development paths. Otherwise policy is fragmented, acting in different parts of the socio-economic system in often inconsistent ways, with a strong separation of policies, such as trade, innovation, competition, education and social policies, making it difficult to orientate industrial and economic development.

Structural changes arise in the long-term, through trial and error processes, characterised by high uncertainty and unpredictability, so that industrial policy has to be a process, characterised by learning and adjustment: sets of instruments are implemented, assessed after a while, and adjusted in accordance with the realised effects. For this purpose, participative governance as mentioned above makes it more likely to contribute to the effectiveness of policy.

The organisation of industry as global networks of local industrial systems implies that industrial development primarily starts at local level. We will argue here that policy has a role in spurring industrial development at local level, and that the relevant local level is the regional one.

The “local” level, or the territory, indeed has to be defined: does it mean the level of a city, an urban area or a region? The industrial system is embedded in a territory, which width and frontiers vary. The industrial system embeds in a territory where there is a strong sense of identity. In the territory, the effects of history and institutions are fundamental.

We have argued above about the important role of attraction that territories have to play in the globalised industrial system, namely when firms organise production on a global scale. Institutional thickness (Amin and Thrift, 1994) favours the development of the territory as an actor in the globalisation process of the economy. This institutional thickness has tended to be taken for granted at local level, as for instance in the literature on industrial districts where it was argued that the social capital resulting from the cohesive local community was simply there for the firms in the district to take advantage of. However, institutions are also influenced by policy action, and regarding districts the national laws favouring SMEs and

entrepreneurship as well as the strong focus on social policies in a region such as Emilia Romagna (Rinaldi, 2002) certainly contributed to develop this institutional thickness.

Nowadays the challenge is that products are more knowledge-intensive and the creation of the necessary knowledge base for product creation and development requires an intense exchange in complex networks of the firm and other firms, other institutions such as universities, in the region and in the rest of the country, and abroad. Globalisation also involves large migration flows and many territories have experienced massive arrivals of immigrants who have to be integrated to the local community. In the Emilia-Romagna region in Italy, the action of the government in this direction starts with the schools, where specific programmes for the integration of immigrants' children have been implemented.

The territory is then not so much a specific area where resources have to be optimally allocated, but rather an area where agents interact through the institutions and in so doing generate the necessary resources for development.

The next section defines the regional industrial policy for GVC reshaping and emergence in more details, particularly the barriers that this policy should address. Specific cases of regional industrial policies are then examined in the following section (Section 3), and lessons from the cases are drawn in section 4.

2.2. Policies favouring the reshaping and emergence of GVCs

The previous section highlighted that regional industrial policy should aim at making regions hubs of knowledge and competencies, making capabilities, favouring the combinations, the complementarities on which firms can base their distinctive competencies and the attractiveness of their products and services.

There are **market barriers to the reshaping and emergence of GVCs**. Some of these barriers are well-known and have been addressed in innovation policies in the past: skill deficit, lack of investment and lack of innovation capacity. The latter aspects have been widely stressed in the literature (Economics of innovation, economic geography, etc.) and considered in innovation policy-making.

We stress another important barrier, the lack of networking capacity, which is key in order to search for and exploit complementarities between regional firms, between regional firms and other institutions, such as universities, both within the territory and outside. Networking has been stressed in the field of evolutionary theory, in the concept of innovation systems, which have been analysed first at national level but also later (and since Phil Cooke in the 1990s) at regional level.

GVC reshaping means the production of new products and/or processes, of higher quality or different variety. The production of the past might be transformed so that there is branching into a new activity. This requires the creation or the adoption of new knowledge, sometimes the adoption also of new technologies. Production processes are likely to be altered so that organisational changes occur. New logistics might be involved, as well as new markets. GVC reshaping therefore generally require new transport and communication infrastructure, or investment to upgrade the existing one. Investment in tangible and intangible capital is necessary to support this reshaping. The new activities require new, different skills, that can be provided by re-training or attraction of new talents.

Similarly, GVC emergence requires new knowledge, innovation, as well as appropriate infrastructure and skills. It might arise through spinoffs of existing industrial or research

activities in the region, or it might be imported through FDI in the region. R&D capacity is needed, appropriate skills and infrastructure.

If these investments are not made, GVC reshaping or emergence will not occur. The lack of investment might be due to a difficulty for firms to access to finance, which can be overcome by appropriate policy instruments, such as support to the development of capital markets, venture capital, or specific guarantees to banks providing loans to enterprises.

Hence there might be barriers to GVC reshaping and emergence: mainly

1. Skill deficit:

- skills in the workforce at all levels is important to innovate, creating new products and processes, and also to adopt new technologies, which require absorptive capacity;
- entrepreneurial skills: risk-taking, capacity to relate to other realities, capacity to absorb new knowledge

2. Lack of investment:

- tangible and intangible capital: tangible capital is essentially physical capital and infrastructure, intangible capital includes knowledge, IPR, skills;
- infrastructure: transport, communication.

3. Lack of innovation capacity:

- R&D laboratories
- skilled workforce
- higher education and research centres
- knowledge base
- access to finance (venture capital)

4. Networking capacity

- capacity for prospection
- capacity to build links
- social capital (trust, norms and values that enable social interaction)
- entitlements

The importance of relationships has been widely stressed in the literature, at both theoretical and empirical level, but mainly regarding innovation, and particularly the links between the firm and universities and research centres (see the vast literature on U-I links, e.g. the review by Perkmann et al. 2013). The importance of adopting open innovation models for firms has also been widely discussed since the work by Chesbrough (2003).

Regional governments can have a role on favouring the setting up of relationships of the regional firms within the region and outside, as shown by the ER region case below. For this purpose, instruments or actions supporting networking have to be implemented. The regional government can help in the prospection of potential links, by strategically choosing and establishing partnerships with external regions, taking part in international programmes such as Interreg in the European Union. In addition, the organisation of events such as international trade or research fairs, inviting enterprises with complementary activities to those of the regional firms, can be undertaken or supported by the regional government, or by an agency or organisation specifically created to take the responsibility for this prospection (the role of Aster in the ER region).

Section 4 examines various cases of regional industrial policy implemented in the past in OECD and non-OECD regions. The next section presents the main policy conclusions that emerge from these cases, so that readers may look at Section 4 only interested in more details.

3. Key policy elements that emerge from the cases

Summary: The regional industrial policy cases analysed for this paper, together with the analysis of the changing competitive context characterised by digital globalisation leads us to outline four main policy elements for GVC reshaping and emergence. First, developing capabilities for industrial development, namely appropriate skills, infrastructure, knowledge base; second, enhancing networking in order to exploit complementarities, within and outside the regional industrial system; third, policy governance should be participative; and fourth, policy coherence, between government levels but also between policy fields should be ensured.

Both the cases and the arguments in the first sections highlight four main elements of regional industrial policy for GVC reshaping and emergence: capabilities to develop regional knowledge and competencies, networking for complementarities, governance and policy coherence. These elements are examined below.

3.1. Capabilities

Capabilities, namely knowledge and competencies, human capital and research capacity, as well as appropriate infrastructure and institutions, are essential for GVC reshaping and emergence, insertion in GVCs without vulnerability, or leading GVCs.

Beyond basic education generally provided at national level in national education systems, GVC reshaping and emergence require new skills that can only be provided by specific training and education programmes set up at regional level. Thus, for instance, the ER region has created new technical and high-technical institutes to provide specific skills needed by the regional industry; in Styria too, the regional government dialogued with businesses and education institutions to favour the adaptation of the regional human capital to the new industrial activities. Similarly, tertiary education was developed in Shenzhen to allow the upgrading of indigenous industry, and in Ireland the availability of appropriate skills was essential for the software industry to develop.

3.2. Networking for building complementarities

Policy actions aimed at favouring networking within and outside the region appear to be essential. Within the region, they allow to exchange knowledge and competencies for higher knowledge creation and transformation of innovation into industrial applications and commercial success.

The literature on innovation systems has long stressed the importance of both intra- and inter-regional networks for innovation and the development of new specialisations in. Most innovative – learning – regions are generally those with most external collaborations: Baden-Württemberg has an open and international network focused on Northern Europe and the US; outside Europe California is a leading hub in the global network, also collaborating with regions in emerging countries such as India and China.

Bathelt et al. (2004) also showed that intra-regional cooperation without interregional links can bring lower innovative performance (In the context of clusters). In fact, both an

appropriate local network (innovative milieu, Carmagni, 2014) and external links are essential to knowledge exchange and creation by providing access to new knowledge and technologies. However, there is also evidence that external links may hinder regional innovation (Aarstad et al., 2016), especially when the local industrial structure mainly consists in SMEs.

Boschma (2014) and Boschma and Iammarino (2009) stress that connecting both within and outside the region might be a key asset for regional innovation and development, only however if the external knowledge is related to the regional sectors. Absorptive capacity is also important for extra-regional links to generate positive effects (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990). The industrial policy implemented in the Basque region paid attention to this aspect in order to favour GVC reshaping.

This networking arises at all levels: between businesses, administrations, universities and research centres, and across different types of institutions. It enables the identification of competencies and potential cross-fertilisations, across sectors and across institutions, such as for instance between research institutions and businesses.

Facilitators, such as Aster in the ER region or ACStyria in Austria, can have a very positive role in favouring the emergence and in exploiting the complementarities between the economic activities of the region. Facilitators favour networking and can also have a role in the identification of complementarities not only between regional stakeholders but also between regional stakeholders and external organisations (businesses, universities or research centres outside the region or abroad).

External links can be particularly important when the regional industry lacks a critical mass to become a hub of knowledge and competencies sufficient to attract GVCs or to be a basis local firms to set up their own GVCs.

More generally, some firms in any type of region may incur high R&D costs, especially in times of industrial revolution where technological changes are outstanding. Especially developing prototypes at the industrial application phase of research might be extremely costly. Hence finding partners in other regions might be profitable in order to share these high sunk costs. This is the rationale for the collaborative projects in the Vanguard Initiative.

Collaboration is built at the level of individuals, who have diverse jobs, diverse organisational cultures, according to whether they work in public or private organisations for instance; they have varied knowledge and competencies, which require a learning process in order to be able to communicate. Calamel et al. (2011) showed the importance of policy attention to the construction of collaboration. For this purpose, attention to the building and strengthening of social capital, as in the ER region appears to be essential.

Without this networking regional industrial policy is likely to fail. An example is provided by Wrobel (2008), who argues that a regional policy to develop the logistic sector in Bremen in Germany, namely the 'Innovision 2010' programme, failed because not enough attention was put on the creation of relationships and interactions between firms.

The search for complementarities can be implemented through the definition of platforms, defined around specific technologies (general-purpose technologies or knowledge-enabling technologies). Examples of complementarities exploited through platforms abound. For instance, Feldman (2007) analysed a platform policy implemented in Linköping in Sweden, where the Saab military technology was transformed into biomedical technology in the Berzelius science park. Asheim et al. (2007) showed the case of Preseli in Wales, where a platform based on tourism was defined, allowing the development of quality tourism linked to the Neolithic heritage existing in the region, but also to quality food and artistic and cultural

production that led to the development of textile sustainable agriculture and biofuel productions in the region.

Trippl et al. (2015) examine the type of external links that can be built according to the type of regional innovation system (RIS), distinguishing between organisationally thick and diversified RIS, organisationally thick and specialised RIS, and organisationally thin RIS. External links can be built either by attracting external actors to set up in the region, such as FDI, or by building extra-regional knowledge linkages.

The ways in which external links matter for GVC reshaping and emergence is summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Importance of external links

Policy actions	Examples
1. Attracting external firms to the region	
Relocating firms within the country	<p>Many examples Swedish regions (Neffke et al., 2014); Fredin et al. 2015 show that the relocation of Swedish ICT firms to the lagging region of Blekinge (old industrial region) has led to the development of a dynamic ICT cluster there in the 1990s.</p> <p>Southern Italy after WWII: state-owned firms where induced to create divisions in this region, but they tended to create cathedral in the desert, due to a lack of development of general capabilities, as well as institutional failure</p>
Attraction of foreign firms (FDI)	<p>Software industry in Ireland Agder region in Norway to develop the oil sector Styria, Emilia Romagna Shenzhen</p> <p>Condition for success is development of autonomous capabilities Otherwise regions might become locked-in external networks (MacKinnon, 2012), and external firms do not embed or anchor in the region</p>
Attracting human capital, individual actors	<p>Florida (2003) outline that the arrival of members of the creative class such as scientists, artists, designers, etc., namely talented people can help the development of the region, if it is open, diverse and tolerant. Saxenian (2006) has shown that the development of ICT industries in Asia has often been triggered by returnees that had studied and initiated activity in other countries.</p>

	<p>Drori et al. (2009) outline a positive impact of transnational entrepreneurship on the institutional environment of less developed regions.</p>
<p>2. Building external linkages</p>	<p>Tödling et al. (2006) show different knowledge sourcing mechanisms depending on the degree of formalisation (market links, research collaborations or informal links) and interactive learning that takes place.</p> <p>Boschma and Iammarino (2009) show that trade linkages may favour the exploitation of related variety, with a positive impact on regional growth.</p> <p>Linkages among actors based on social or cognitive proximity may also play a role, such as for instance the relationships between former colleagues, exchange of knowledge in conferences and trade fair.</p> <p>Trippel et al. (2015) on role of external links according to type of RIS: Organizationally thick and diversified RIS: low need for external knowledge, high attraction of external knowledge Organizationally thick and specialised: high need, low attractiveness Thin RIS: high need, low attractiveness</p>

3.3. Governance

As already stressed, policy-makers must have a capacity to understand the evolution of the regional ecosystem and identify the possible evolutionary trajectories. This is not easy, but a dialogue with stakeholders of all sides (firms, workers, education institutions, research institutions, etc.) appears to be useful to obtain information and make appropriate choices: this kind of participative governance process was adopted in regions such as Emilia Romagna and Styria. In addition, being directly involved, stakeholders are more likely to mobilise towards the aim of the policy, so that they make decisions accordingly, and policy effectiveness can be higher.

Such participative governance processes have been outlined as essential for the success of policies in the case of resilience to shocks like disasters or economic crisis (Bristow and Healy, 2014a, b, on regional resilience; Alexander, 2010; Ózdemir and Jacoby, 2006, on resilience to disasters). Adapting to an industrial revolution certainly requires regional economies to be resilient, and industrial policy implemented to favour such adaptation is in fact a policy for resilience. The complexity of changes involved in these deep structural changes make dialogue with stakeholders and shared vision more likely to favour an effective adaptation of the

regional industry and of the whole socioeconomic system. The examples provided in Section 4 also confirm this point.

Good governance has been stressed as a key factor for the effectiveness of policies in general (OECD, 2006, 2012).

In addition, regions are inserted in multi-level governance systems, with other policy levels both above (national and supranational) and below (local systems, cities). The coherence of policy between the different policy levels is important. For instance, the actions adopted at local level should be coherent with the regional industrial policy, favouring the development of capabilities at local level. In addition, the actions at higher levels should be coherent too, in order to strengthen the industrial paths initiated at regional level. Thus, national education and research systems should be designed and adapted to the emerging competitive context and business needs. Actions at national level should also favour the identification and exploitation of complementarities between the knowledge and competencies of the different regions.

Especially in times of industrial revolution the regulatory framework generally has to be changed: new jobs emerge, new technologies and industrial sectors, that might require new regulation. For instance nowadays the issues of privacy and preservation of personnel data on online platform has been subject to new regulation (European Directive entered into force in May 2018), and antitrust authorities are controlling the competitive behaviour of online platforms (cases of Google, Facebook in the EU).

Policy coherence is also important between the different areas of policy: human capital, social and labour policies, trade and innovation policies, fiscal and monetary policies, etc., as stressed in the next section.

3.4. Policy coherence

The different cases analysed above also show that policy coherence is important for GVCs to reshape and emerge. Thus, the ER region has undertaken a joint definition and coherent implementation of labour, social, innovation, environment and trade policies. All policy actions have to work in the same direction, namely the aim of strengthening a development path or embarking on a new path. For instance, social policy favours both the participation of all the regional community in the development process and the enhancement of social capital, which is the basis for networking; human capital policy develop the skills while territorial and environment policies favour functioning infrastructure and institutions, and sustainable living and working environment for the attraction of talents and industrial activities.

Overall, the main instruments for the promotion of GVC reshaping and emergence can be summarised in the following table (Table 2).

Table 2. Instruments for the Promotion of Emergence and Reshaping of GVCs

Objectives	Instruments
Capabilities	
Investment in R&D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - support to private R&D - public R&D - support to patenting - support to internationalisation and participation in international research projects

	for universities - creation of R&D labs, R&D facilities
Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communication, adapting to the needs created by the transition of manufacturing regimes (4G and 5G connections, big data, etc.) - Energy: development of renewable energy sources, actions to minimise energy costs compatibly with sustainability - Transport: renew transport infrastructure in sustainable manner - Environment: develop protection and preservation, preparation to disasters linked to climate change
Improvement in the capacity of regional firms inserted as suppliers in GVCs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Support to SMEs - Brokering and platforms between suppliers and buyers - Technological standards ...
Human capital: skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Specialised training on-the-job and in universities - Partnership between groups of firms and education institutions - Training opportunities for talents coming from outside the region - ...
Support to high value-added production phases (R&D and commercialisation)	<p>Access to GPTs and KETs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Financing of joint research projects between firms and universities and research centres - Other instruments for technological transfer: spinoffs, mobility of university students and researchers in firms,... - Events (conferences, seminars) on the new technologies and their potential applications - Diffusion of information at regional level on the knowledge and competencies present in the region (and outside) - Expert groups with knowledge of the strengths and weaknesses of regional industries aimed at identifying potential complementarities <p>Development / attraction of strategic sectors: strategic in the value chains (e.g. key input) or strategic due to cross-fertilisation with many sectors (e.g. creative and cultural industries)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SME policy (favour growth, groups; specific instruments to favour their access to finance

	and tangible / intangible resources)
Commercialisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of democases as in Vanguard - Support to the financing of prototypes resulting from collaborative R&D projects - Technological transfer programmes - IPR law
Access to financial resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consulting on financial operations - Public guarantee - Attraction of venture capital
Networking	
Search for complementarities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maps of industrial and service activities, competencies and knowledge - Maps of competencies and knowledge base of universities and research/technical centres - Use of coordinators (expert groups) to identify potential complementarities - Collaborative projects between firms, between firms and universities - Promote clusters and sectoral / inter-sectoral platforms
Networking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Facilitators: private or public agency, consortium between regional main stakeholders, that prospect potential complementarities and facilitate their exploitation, by organising conferences, seminars or forums, specific collaborative projects, information exchange and training programmes to raise absorptive capacity - Financial incentives to the creation of relationships - Financing of collaborative projects -
External linkages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Attraction of external firms - Assistance to investors arriving in the region - Information on the global market - Partner search - Support to relationships in the value chain - Export promotion - Participation in Interreg projects (EU) - Macro-regions as forums for knowledge exchange with a view to identify and exploit complementarities - Specific initiatives of associations of regions like Vanguard ...
Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - involvement of regional stakeholders in definition and implementation of policy

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - learning and adaptation in the policy process - monitoring and evaluation
Policy coherence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - mobilise all policies: act on all parts of the socio-economic system - joint definition of actions in the different policy areas to ensure coherence -

4. Cases of Regional Industrial Policy

Cases found in the literature generally focus on the emergence of one particular sector or cluster; analyses of the overall evolution of industry in regions (or mapping of regional ecosystems' changes) is more difficult to find, although it would be useful because the industrial development path does not concern one industry only but the whole mix of industries in the region.

The cases presented below are not extremely recent. The reason is that cases have been chosen on the basis of the lessons they bring regarding regional industrial policy, and only old cases allow us to assess whether a policy has been successful.

Recent industrial policy is presented only for the Emilia Romagna region in Italy (first case below), because the policy is the result of a continuous process that has unfolded over the last decades.

Other cases include the Lahti region in Finland, different clusters in Norway, the Basque region in Spain, the Styria region in Austria, Dublin in Ireland and Shenzhen in China.

The cases are briefly presented, and only main policy instruments adopted are outlined.

Note: cases in Eastern Europe and South America to be added

4.1. Emilia Romagna

The Emilia Romagna region has implemented industrial policy continuously in the last decades (Bianchi and Labory, 2011b). The current industrial policy follows the principles of the past, in particular the governance framework, which is democratic and participative, involving all stakeholders in the policy process in order to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the regional socio-economic system and define policy accordingly, in the sense of favouring particular development paths. The current industrial policy is interesting in that it has been defined to prepare the region to the fourth industrial revolution, promoting its adaptation to the changing competitive context.

A first point about this policy that is noteworthy is that it has been defined in the Labour Pact, adopted in 2015. This pact is social by nature, focused on job creation and employment, but it is intimately linked to industrial development. This approach is based on the consideration that economic growth and development cannot occur without extending entitlements, namely socially defined means of access of citizens (Dahrendorf, 2008), including not only the capability to buy goods and find a job, but also more generally rights, to be educated, to participate in the social, civic and economic life.

As stressed in previous sections, industrial development and revolutions in particular imply deep structural changes, involving the society and its culture, so that the basis of entitlements may change, the risk of social fragmentation is high, and policy must be implemented to avoid this fragmentation and ensure participation in the development process.

As a consequence, in the ER region social, human capital, territorial and innovation policies have been jointly and coherently defined. For this purpose, dialogue with regional stakeholders has been important, leading to a long-term vision of industrial development, shared by all parties and translated into objectives and actions indicated in the Labour Pact. The latter thus constitutes a long-term concerted programming.

Another important characteristic of the ER industrial policy is that it is not focused on one or a few sectors or clusters, but on the whole regional industrial system. A mapping of knowledge and competencies in all regional sectors was performed in order to comprise all activities in the promotion of development.

This mapping was the basis for the identification of the main sectors in the region and the smart specialisation strategy. These are Mechanical Engineering & Automotive, Agro-food, Housing and Construction, Fashion, Health and Wellness, Culture and Creativity and Tourism. Their definition is broad so that all regional industrial activities belong to one of them. Mapping also included the identification of 27 GVCs in the five main sectors and seven associations, called Clust.ER, have been created to allow all the regional actors of the GVCs belonging to them to meet and interact, as well as define common goals, towards appropriate reshaping and even emergence of GVCs.

The regional industrial policy has promoted related diversification, particularly supporting sectors that might have an impact on the upgrading and restructuring of traditional ones, especially new technological sectors: new materials and machinery for the textile and ceramics industries, robotics and nanotechnologies for sectors such as mechanical engineering, biomedical and packaging, but also the creative and cultural sectors that have a positive impact on the upgrading and development of other sectors.

All policy actions have been defined coherently with these objectives regarding the main sectors of the region. Not only policy aimed at promoting investment by enterprises, especially SMEs, but also human capital (education and training), social and territorial (infrastructure and environment) policies. For example, education and training policy has comprised the development of skills at all levels. The educational system was reformed, starting from 2010, with a stress on technical and professional training, as well as research and its translation into industrial applications (Bianchi and Labory, 2018a). The region has four universities, in Bologna, Ferrara, Modena and Parma, as well as some divisions of the Milan Polytechnic and of the Catholic University of Milan. Overall these tertiary education institutions, together with important national research institutes located in the region, and ten technopoles created in the last years (in the various areas of specialisation of public and industrial research related to the main sectors of the region), contribute to a high R&D capacity.

Networking in order to favour complementarities characterise the education and research system. Universities and research centres are related in networks also comprising the main industrial sectors of the region, particularly in the technopoles. In addition, an overlapping network of technical institutes has been created, the network of Polytechnics, providing the operational, analytical and relational skills that are crucial for innovation and for the processes of developing, raising standards and digitalising the industries of strategic importance for the growth of the region and the country. This network is made of technical colleges that offer highly specialised, two-year, post-school diploma programmes to train expert technicians that are useful for all regional firms but particularly SMEs, which do not have the resources to realise this type of training in-house.

The Polytechnic Network overlaps with the innovation and research network in that both are complementary, providing the necessary knowledge and competencies for innovation to be

translated into industrial applications, thereby contributing to the structural changes that industries have to go through in order to adapt to the fourth industrial revolution.

The Labour Pact also includes actions for the unemployed and poorest people (social policy). Guidance for personalised career pathways, classroom and workplace learning, and assistance in starting a job are some of the actions designed for more vulnerable people, including the disabled. Traineeships and apprenticeships programmes have been strengthened for young people leaving school early, for unemployed persons in search of jobs, and for people with disabilities, asylum-seekers, people entitled to international or humanitarian protection, and people requiring social protection.

In this manner the regional industrial policy favours the development of entitlements, or rights of access, of participation into the development process, even to the most vulnerable people.

All these measures create a dense networking (institutional thickness and social capital), favouring the search for complementarities (cross-fertilisation) within the region. The high-tech network corresponding to the main regional sectors exploits complementarities between the activities of regional stakeholders in order to favour innovation and industrial applications of research, and it is supported by corresponding networks of educational institutions, namely technical institutes, universities and apprenticeship and vocational training programmes. The meeting points of these networks are numerous, in the five strategic sectors of the region and the Clust.ER communities for instance.

Attention is also paid to infrastructure: appropriate transport, communication and energy infrastructure. Green sectors and renewable energy sources are promoted. Following Industry 4.0 and data as the raw material of the new era, another important infrastructure has been recently added.

Regional policy-makers consulted universities, firm managers and other stakeholders and realised that competencies and potential facilities regarding big data were substantial in the region. They put together a project to propose Bologna as a host of the Data Centre of the European Centre for Medium-term Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). Such project would allow the region to become a key actor at European level regarding big data collection and processing, a strategic activity in the fourth industrial revolution. The Italian government agreed and backed the proposal and provided funding for some of the necessary facilities. On 22 June 2017, the ECMWF Board formally accepted to establish its Data Centre in the Bologna location. A Big Data technopole has been created around the centre, since the research facilities of the CINECA (national supercomputing consortium) and the CNAF (National computing centre of the Italian nuclear physics research centre) have been relocated in the same area, together with the National Excellence Centre for Research and Innovation on Industry 4.0, sponsored by the National Ministry of Industrial Development. A number of private-based research centres will also be based in the Big Data Technopole, making it one of the largest big data infrastructures in Europe, competing for excellence at world level.

The regional industrial policy also comprises measures aimed at favouring external linkages in order to exploit complementarities with stakeholders external to the region. In particular, FDI are attracted. For instance, The ER region attracted an FDI by Audi for the production of SUV's for the Lamborghini brand, after talks involving the regional Labour, Industry and Innovation and Agriculture DGs, as well as municipalities, the national government and agencies. Foreign firms investing in the region have to establish research centres in the region in order to receive government support.

The region has also undertaken prospection of potential links, both in the EU and outside. The region has seven partner regions, with which it develops close relationships in all fields: these are Hessen (Wiesbaden – Frankfurt in Germany), Aquitaine (France) and Wilkopolska (Poland) in the EU, and California (USA), Gauteng (South Africa) and Guangdong (China) outside Europe. In addition, the ER region is member of various Interreg programmes, such as Adrion with regions bordering the Adriatic and Ionian seas, the Italy-Croatia programme, the Alps programme, etc. It is also member of the EUSAIR macro-region comprising Balkan countries. These programmes regard the common economic, social and environmental interests of the member regions and countries, such as sustainable development of the sea, preservation of cultural heritage, and sustainable tourism and fishing. As an example, projects promoting innovation for sustainability and upgrading of SMEs are financed in these programmes.

The ER region is also member of the **Vanguard Initiative**, an association gathering the most advanced regions in the Europe, created in 2013 with the Milan Declaration. The aim of Vanguard is to favour the identification and exploitation of complementarities between economic actors – mainly enterprises – of the member regions. Its approach is bottom-up, starting from business initiative, with a view to create links and favour learning and knowledge creation along the value chain, with the concrete aim of promoting commercial applications of research. The association has been active in financing the realisation of democases, namely prototypes concretely transforming innovation in commercial applications, which represent high sunk costs for industries. Businesses are invited to propose pilot actions in five main fields, namely advanced manufacturing for energy applications (ADMA Energy), 3D Printing, Bio-Economy, Nano-technology and Efficient and sustainable manufacturing. The budget of the association is made of the contributions of the member regions, and the association is active in looking for additional European funds to support its projects.

Finally, an important point to note regarding this region is that it has created a facilitator of regional networking, namely the ASTER agency. It was created in the 1990s to favour interactions within the regional innovation system. It was re-organised in 2001 as a consortium gathering all the regional actors of the innovation system: universities, research centres, business associations and the regional government. First aimed at promoting technological transfer within the region, its role has evolved to also favour extra-regional links, since it is involved in the management and realisation of projects within the Interreg programmes the region is part of, as well as in Vanguard. Its main concern is about the industrial application of research and promotes the realisation of concrete projects in this respect.

4.2. Finland: the Lahti region

Finland has implemented industrial policy, both at national and regional level, to develop new GVCs and reshape existing GVCs. The case of this country immediately outlines an important aspect of regional policies for GVCs: Finland has about 5.5 million inhabitants, which is the size of many regions in other EU countries: in Italy for instance, Emilia Romagna has 4.5 million inhabitants and Lombardy, 10 million. The meaning of region in Finland is therefore different from the meaning of a region in Italy.

This raises the issue of the critical mass necessary for complementarities to emerge and be identified.

The Lahti region in Finland is a case of policy favouring the reshaping of GVCs. Harmaakorpi (2006) shows that this reshaping was promoted by a particular attention of policy-makers on the reduction of the cognitive distance between old industries and new ones. In this case, industrial policy was implemented through the creation of platforms dedicated to complementarities (or related variety), namely the identification of potentially complementary industrial activities in the region. Instruments used were an analysis of the strengths and

weaknesses of the region, involvement of stakeholders, and also the consideration of possible complementarities with activities in other regions (in this case, industry in the Helsinki region). Ylä-Antilla and Palmberg (2007) show that Finland is an example of coherence between the regional and the national levels of industrial policy-making. The national level defines a long-term vision of industrial development for the country, outlining the importance of particular sectors or clusters (ICTs, health, forest and energy technologies in the White Paper of 1993). The regional level implements the national policy in partnership with regional stakeholders, by defining precise actions and steps for specific developments.

4.3. Norway

This case is one of emergence of new GVCs in a region thanks to the search for and exploitation of complementarities and the building of new competencies from the knowledge and competencies accumulated in the past.

Lillebrygfjeld Halse and Bjarnar (2011) analyse an example of reshaping of the GVC in the shipbuilding sector in Norway. In 2010, the regional sector comprised 200 firms in the maritime sector, including 17 dealing with passenger transport, 14 shipbuilding firms, 15 firms specialised in boat design and 155 component suppliers. The main products were offshore transport boats and fishing boats.

GVC reshaping occurred in the 1970s, when the demand for fishing boats reduces and the oil extraction activities grow in the country. The regional shipbuilding sector reshapes and develops production of boats for offshore platforms. The structure of the local cluster evolves towards hierarchy, some firms grow and become leaders on which most regional firms highly depend. These leaders delocalise headquarters and strategic decision offices to the capital of the country; the regional firms also develop links with foreign firms, such as Rolls Royce Marine. However, some regional firms also set up GVC with foreign subsidiaries.

However, in so doing regional firms lose an important asset: the industrial commons, the shared know-how that was so important for the survival and development of this sector in the region. As a consequence, in more recent years some firms have reshored, bringing production back to the region in order to better exploit the local knowledge base and competencies, and the complementarities between firms and assets.

The Norwegian case also provide evidence on the role of universities in GVC reshaping and emergence. Thus, Isaksen and Karlsen (2011) show the role of universities in the upgrading of GVCs in two cases: Tromsø, where the university has played an active role in the development of a new sector in the region, namely marine biotechnologies, and Agder, where the industry for gas extraction equipment developed thanks to regional policy aimed at training technicians and engineers for that industry. They highlight that the university has four important role in GVC reshaping and emergence: (1) helping the development of new industries, through spinoff creation from its innovation or the provision of license; (2) contributing to the attraction of investment and FDI with human capital training and research; (3) helping the diversification of existing industry through the diffusion of new technologies; and (4) promoting upgrading by favouring incremental innovations, in joint research projects and training programmes with the regional firms.

Thus, Norwegian universities favour GVC reshaping and emergence through their training and research activities, and joint research projects, training programmes, consultancy projects, or other forms of agreement that are tailored to the needs of the regional firms. Regional authorities implemented actions to favour these U-I links because businesses in many cases did not realise the opportunities that could be created by such links.

4.4. Basque Country Spain

This is case showing the importance of the involvement of regional stakeholders, in a democratic and participative process, in order to mobile them towards the chosen industrial development path. It is also a case where regional policy-makers have been able to identify and favour possible complementarities between existing regional competencies and new technologies. It is also an interesting case because it outlines an important aspect, and difficulty, of industrial policy, which the long-time horizon required, contrary to what regional politicians might prefer.

Parrilli et al. (2010) discuss a case of GVC reshaping in the Basque country in Spain. The furniture sector in that region was able to reshape thanks to the adoption of new technologies and processes, which the regional industrial policy actively promoted. In particular, the policy comprised measures aimed at reducing the technological gap between local and external producers, by analysing the new knowledge, the absorptive capacity of regional firms and the learning processes necessary to adopt the new knowledge. Absorptive capacity is raised by hiring new staff with the appropriate knowledge base and competencies, as well as training, R&D activities, and technical assistance provided by research centres, labs and universities. The meeting of regional firms with external firms and other organisations with new and complementary knowledge sources was also favoured by the organisation of events and forums between innovation structures and local firms.

All these policy instruments contributed to the 'institutional density' previously outlined as key for GVC reshaping and emergence.

The regional industrial policy focused on favouring learning processes, which are essential in manufacturing transition (Bianchi and Labory, 2017).

This case offers two major lessons regarding regional industrial policy for GVC reshaping and emergence. First, long-term horizons are necessary, since the successful reshaping in the Basque region took about ten years to be realised. Second, exploiting complementarities requires precise actions to reduce the distance between knowledge bases of old industries and new applications. Such measures were taken in the Basque region.

4.5. The Styria region in Austria

The Styria region in Austria has implemented successful industrial policies that have favoured the transformation of existing value chains and also the emergence of new GVCs. This offers a case of successful transformation of an area of declining industries into new industries, based on diversification. The regional authorities have not attracted one particular sector that could be complementary to the dominant sector in the region and could help its upgrading, but they have chosen to attract different sectors in the region. The latter were carefully chosen on the basis of criteria of potential complementarities that they could offer to the reshaped and the emerging GVCs.

Styria was a declining region in the 1980s, due to the difficulties of the local steel industry characterised by a large state-owned firm. Nowadays the Styrian industry has developed into six new sectors that are so dynamic that the region has become a leader of economic development for the country.

The regional industrial policy has been organised around specific sectors, or clusters, in the sense of considering all the firms member of the sector and favouring their interactions.

The policy has comprised four main instruments: creation of a facilitator; creation or strengthening of external links (FDI attraction and export promotion); strengthening of regional capabilities and conditions, particularly infrastructure, training and research (with university-industry links); important investments financed by both the regional and the national governments.

The facilitator is an organisation called ACStyria, created at the beginning of the 1990s. This facilitator has contributed to local networking, favouring links between SMEs and between SMEs and leaders. The declining steel industry has left the room for a dynamic automobile industry, with different leaders in the region, including SFT, which realises high-value added components, AVL List, a company specialised in design and in the production of advanced internal combustion engines and created as a spinoff from the University of Graz; as well as external leaders such as Eurostar (producing the Chrysler Voyager and Magna).

This reshaping of GVC has been helped by other public organisations, such as the regional government and the Agency for regional development (SFG), which help the strategic development, provided financing that added to national financing and provided services to local businesses. The industrial association Steiermart (IV) provided technical assistance to firms, as did the Institute for technological and regional policy. The regional industrial and engineering research centres created relations with the firms in the automobile sector.

External links and export promotion were developed by the Büro für Internationale Forschungs und Technologie Kooperationen (BIT).

An important policy instrument was therefore the strengthening of regional institutional density to favour networking and knowledge transfer and creation.

The facilitator is interesting as it is in many ways similar to the Aster organisation in Emilia Romagna. Its direction comprises industry representatives, politicians, scientists and trade unionists. ACStyria provides a long-term strategic development vision for the automobile cluster and finances collaborative projects between regional firms.

Regional industrial policy has focused on building R&D capabilities, networking for complementarities, democratic governance and policy coherence.

The other sectors that were attracted or developed in the region were as diversified as wood, biomedical, clean technologies, new materials, food technologies and creative industries. However, these sectors present potential complementarities: for instance, clean technologies and creative industries find applications in all other regional sectors.

4.6. Irish software industry in Ireland

The development of the software industry in Ireland shows the importance of both external links and building autonomous capabilities for regional industrial development to arise. Crone (2002) discusses this case.

The Irish software industry was developed in Dublin thanks to both an important influx of FDI and the development of an indigenous industrial base. Within the software industry, two types of GVCs expanded in Ireland, namely the GVCs of multinationals which established low-end activities in the country, as well as indigenous GVCs which produced niche software products. The region attracted FDI thanks to the availability of skills at reasonable costs and a good IT infrastructure. The industrial policy of the state played a role to develop autonomous capabilities since it encouraged the production of software products by indigenous firms, since these products are more value-adding than software services. The Irish industrial policy provided resources: financial, particularly venture capital, as well as specific services that were lacking such as IPR lawyers and marketing consultants, or training. Institutions were created for this purpose, particularly the National Software Directorate, an agency which provided a common strategy to all firms in the software industry and lobbied the government to push for the necessary support and institutional adjustment. The Centre for Software Engineering is another institution created, linked to the university (the Dublin City University), and helped in the diffusion of best practices in the industry besides technical assistance. It also provided

formal and informal networking between professionals, engineers and researchers, and managers.

4.6. Shenzhen

The Chinese industrial policy is interesting in that it has been rather centralised at national level, but at certain important stages it left autonomy to regions to decide on how to develop specific capabilities.

Shenzhen is an example. The Chinese government encouraged industrial development from the 1980s with the introduction of some elements of market economy in the socialist system. In this phase FDI were strongly encouraged to bring in industrial knowledge and competencies. Shenzhen, which has a favourable localisation being at the border with Hong-Kong, was made a Special Economic Zone, providing special conditions to attract FDI.

Shenzhen did not have any universities in the 1980s. However, the government was increasingly concerned about the upgrading of the local industries, and R&D capabilities were progressively developed in the region, although the policy of FDI attraction to access new knowledge and competencies was continued. The Shenzhen university was created in 1983, and the Shenzhen Polytechnic in 1993; external universities from other regions and abroad were also attracted, so that many of them established divisions in the city-region. The Shenzhen Technological Park was also created in 1985, and in 1996 the Shenzhen High-Tech Industrial Park. These parks favoured the development of R&D in many fields, they did not specialise on specific high technologies.

From 2000 the Chinese industrial policy shifted focus from FDI attraction to developing indigenous capabilities. For this purpose, regions or city-regions were given some autonomy to implement specific actions.

For instance, seven strategic emerging industries were defined in 2010, in various fields such as energy generation, biotechnologies, new materials, new energy vehicles, that would have to upgrade and develop R&D capacity. One of these strategic industries was the light-emitting diode (LED) industry in Shenzhen. According to Yang (2015) this led to over-capacity and the municipal government had to end supporting policy. The LED industry in Shenzhen is thus a case of lack of policy coherence between the regional and national level.

However overall Shenzhen has been able to develop autonomous capacity to upgrade its GVCs, and new GVCs have also emerge. This autonomous capacity has been developed by a mix of imported and indigenous knowledge and competencies, as well as coordinated national and regional industrial policies (Prodi et al. 2017, 2018).

5. Conclusions

In the context of digital globalization regional industrial policy is essential to spur industrial development in territories, by providing the conditions for business to connect and interact with producers and suppliers of complementary products and services, as well as with institutions with complementary knowledge and competencies (e.g. research capacity, human capital training), both within and outside the region.

The new industrial policies act on the conditions for dynamic competition in order to favour the management and creative functions which govern the whole production process and the most strategic phases, pre and post manufacturing. For this purpose, it is necessary to create a smart and competent context, where knowledge is not only individually generated and acquired, but also collectively shared and transferred. This requires institutional-building, namely the construction of an educative and research infrastructure that could generate positive externalities for the growth of each part of the community, be they persons, firms and

institutions, and that could generate and consolidate the systemic capacities that favour innovation and development.

Digital globalisation offers new opportunities for all regions, not only most advanced ones that have the research capacity to favour the adoption of the new technologies and to develop new ones. Lagging regions can attract leaders and insert in GVCs if they develop specific capabilities in industrial activities; the local industries, even if low tech, might also find new opportunities if they adopt the new technologies to upgrade and renew their products and also to connect to global markets. For this purpose, looking for and exploiting complementarities within and outside the region is key.

This paper has identified four major policy elements that regional industrial policy should have. First, developing capabilities for industrial development, namely appropriate skills, infrastructure, knowledge base; second, enhancing networking in order to exploit complementarities between specialisations, within and outside the regional industrial system; third, policy governance should be participative, involving regional stakeholders to gather all the necessary information and knowledge about the local GVCs so as to identify a vision of industrial development for the region, and appropriately define sets of instruments and actions to embark the regional socioeconomic system on the chosen growth path; and fourth, policy coherence, between government levels but also between policy fields should be ensured.

This policy requires strong government capacity. Structural changes in times of industrial revolution are complex and require vision, institutional building, capacity for dialogue with stakeholders. Participative governance appears to be most likely to improve the effectiveness of policy.

The main strategic elements of the new regional industrial policy include the following points. First, GVC reshaping and emergence requires industrial policy to promote a diversity of sectors, possibly with potential complementarities; the Styria region in Austria made such a choice, as did the ER region. The latter region however defined broad sectors so that all industrial activities of the region could be included and could find complementarities. Second, providing the conditions for knowledge transfer is not sufficient: policy instruments must include action to reduce the cognitive distance, increase the absorptive capacity and prospect new knowledge sources; human capital policies are key in this respect. Third, promoting external linkages is essential, especially if the region has small dimension that prevents its reaching a critical mass in networking and knowledge exchange and creation. Fourth, policies for GVC reshaping and emergence require large public and private investments.

The policy implementation process of the new regional industrial policy has four main characteristics. Policies for GVC reshaping and emergence:

- have long-term horizons; this might be a problem when politicians and policy-makers are short-sighted; continuity of policy is necessary for it to be effective;
- are processes implemented over long-time period and requiring trial and error, learning and adaptation just as industrial development processes;
- require pro-active policy-makers, that are able to dialogue with regional and extra-regional stakeholders in order to anticipate changes and make choices (leadership);
- require participative governance processes, multi-level and coherent.

A common denominator of these elements is the search for complementarities, between knowledge, competencies and development paths. Policy must be informed by a precise diagnosis of regional assets (resources, potentialities, strengths and weaknesses). The complementarity between resources, but also between resources and institutions are important: institutions define the rules, the laws, hence the rights of individuals. Rights represent the capacity of individuals to take part in the development process, through access

to education, to health and the ensurance of decent living conditions; they are the capabilities in the sense defined by Amartya Sen (1982) or the entitlements defined by Dahrendorf (2008), namely socially defined means of access, not only to good and services but also to rights (to vote, to be educated, etc.; in other word, civil and political rights).

In times of deep structural transformations involving not only industry, but also the whole socio-economic system the risk of social fragmentation is high. In fact, inequalities have increased in the last decades, and social welfare systems have become less strong and universal. New jobs are emerging in the fourth industrial revolution, which have to be considered in labour law; for instance, Uber drivers and riders delivering food and goods acquired on online platforms.

The reshaping and emergence of GVCs implies that some jobs disappear, while new ones are created. The unemployment rate rises, more or less temporarily.

Labour policy has to be carefully thought, because labour contracts and working conditions allowed by this policy has an important impact on the society. For instance, favouring short-term and precarious contacts in low-skill occupations make the individuals concerned unable to have long-term life projects such as building families and having children, unless a social policy provides guarantees. In addition, opportunities and incentives for on-the-job learning are generally low for individuals with such contracts.

GVC reshaping and emergence require a multilevel industrial policy made of regional industrial policies that are coordinated at national level in the sense that the national level favours the identification of specialisations and the exploitation of complementarities between regions. The national (and supranational, European in the EU) level has an important role to play also to provide the rules of the game, regulation and antitrust, besides an international framework for the collaboration and discussion of global challenges.

The higher levels of government also have an important role in guaranteeing the rules of the global game, particularly ensuring fair competition (industrial revolutions are generally associated with tendencies of monopolization, such as for instance the trust during the second industrial revolution leading to the definition of competition policy in the USA, or today, in the fourth industrial revolution, the antitrust cases of Google or Amazon in the EU). New security issues might also emerge, such as nowadays the key issue of cybersecurity when all objects and people are connected. Key framework conditions are also provided at national level, particularly educational systems. Today these can provide the basic skills needed in the new manufacturing regime (soft skills, coding, ethics, etc.), to be part in the new culture appearing. Given societal challenges stressed by the United Nations basic education might include sustainability to make individuals – consumers – aware of these global societal challenges and make them ask for solutions. This has an impact on industry since businesses will innovate and produce new sustainable products and services if consumers ask for green products and for the preservation of ecosystems and the environment.

In a globalised world many of these issues are not confined to a single country so at least coordination of national efforts is required at supranational level.

Countries may also collaborate to exploit complementarities not only between the country's regions, but also with foreign regions. In the EU in particular, cohesion is favoured by the regional industrial policies proposed in this paper, because they allow regions, both advanced and lagging, to be better prepared to exploit the opportunities offered by the fourth industrial revolution.

Further research is needed on the evolution of productive processes following digital globalisation in order to provide more detailed examples and policy recommendations.

References

- Alexander D.E. (2010), "The L'Aquila Earthquake of 6 April 2009 and Italian Government Policy on Disaster Response", *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*, 2(4), pp. 325-342.
- Amin A., Thrift N. (1994), "Living in the Global", in A. Amin, N. Thrift (eds.), *Globalisation, Institutions and Regional Development in Europe*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Arangòn C., Aranguren M.J., Diez M.A., Iturrioz C., Wilson J. (2011), "Creating cooperation for clusters? Lessons from the implementation of a particular participatory policy evaluation process", paper presented at the RSA Annual Conference, Newcastle 17-20 April 2011.
- Audretsch D.B., Lehmann E.H. (2006), "The role of clusters in knowledge creation and diffusion: an institutional perspective", in Asheim B. T., Cooke P., Martin R. (eds), *Clusters and Regional Development. Critical Reflections and Explorations*, Routledge, London.
- Bailey D. de Propris L. (2014), "Reshoring: Opportunities and Limits for Manufacturing in the UK – the case of the Auto Sector", *Revue d'économie industrielle*, n.1, pp. 45-61.
- Bailey D., Koyabashi S. (2009), "Life after Longbridge? Crisis and Restructuring in the West Midlands Auto Cluster", in M.A. Farshchi, O.E.M. Janne, P. McCann (eds.), *Technological Change and Mature Industrial Regions. Firms, Knowledge and Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 129-154.
- Bathelt H., Malmberg A., Maskell P. (2004), "Clusters and knowledge: local buzz, global pipelines and the process of knowledge creation", *Progress in Human Geography*, 28(1), 31- .
- Bianchi P., Labory S. (2018a), *Industrial Policy for the Manufacturing Revolution*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- Bianchi P., Labory S., (2018b), "Industrial Policies for Peripheral Regions in a Globalized World: Territory and Innovation", in Yulek M. (ed.), *Industrial Policy and Sustainable Growth*, Springer, Singapore, pp. 109-126.
- Bianchi P., Labory S. (2017a), "Manufacturing Regimes and Transitional Paths: Lessons for Industrial Policy", *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, available online 12 October 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2017.10.003>.
- Bianchi P. and Labory S. (2017b), "Industrial Policies for Peripheral Regions in a Globalized World: Territory and Innovation", in Yulek M.A. (ed.), *Industrial Policy and Sustainable Growth*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 109-126.
- Bianchi P., Labory S. (2011a), "Industrial Policy after the Crisis: the Case of the Emilia-Romagna Region in Italy", *Policy Studies*, 32(4), 429-446.
- Bianchi P., Labory S. (2011b), *Industrial Policy after the Crisis. Seizing the Future*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Calamel L., Defélix C., Picq T., Retour D. (2011), "Inter-organizational projects in French innovation clusters: the construction of collaboration", *International Journal of Project Management*,

Chesbrough H. (2003), *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*, HBS Press, Harvard.

Christopherson S., Clark J. (2007), "Power in firm networks: what it means for regional innovation systems", *Regional Studies*, 41(9), 1223 - 1236.

Cooke P. (2001), "From Technopoles to Regional Innovation Systems: the evolution of localized technology development policy", *Canadian Journal of Regional Science*, XXIV(1), 21-40.

Crone M. (2002), "The Irish Indigenous Software Industry: explaining the development of a knowledge-intensive industry cluster in a less-favoured region", *ERSA Congress 2002 Dortmund*.

Dahrendorf R. (2008), *The Modern Social Conflict. The Politics of Liberty*, completely revised second edition (1st edition 1988).

De Propriis L., Menghinello S., Sugden R. (2008), "The internationalisation of production systems: embeddedness, openness and governance", *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 20(6), 493.

Ge D., Fujimoto T. (2004), "Quasi-open Product Architecture and Technological Lock-in: An Exploratory Study on the Chinese Motorcycle Industry", *Annals of Business Administrative Science* 3(2), 15-24.

Isaksen A. (2018), "From success to failure, the disappearance of clusters: a study of a Norwegian boat-building cluster", *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 11(2), 241-256.

Isaksen A., Karlsen J. (2010), "Different modes of innovation and the challenge of connecting universities and industry: case studies of two regional industries in Norway", *European Planning Studies*, 18(12), 1993-2008.

Kowalkowski C., Gebauer H., Kamp B., Parry G., (2017), "Servitization and deservitization: Overview, concepts, and definitions", *Industrial Marketing Management*, 60, 4-10.

Lillebrygfjeld Halse L., Bjarnar O. (2011), "Cluster transformation from a supply chain perspective: theoretical models and the case of the maritime cluster in Mid-West Norway", paper presentato alla conferenza annuale della Regional Studies Association, Newcastle, 17-20 aprile 2011.

McKinsey Global Institute (2015), 'The Internet of things: mapping the value beyond the hype'.

Nahm J., Steinfeld E.S. (2014), "The role of innovative manufacturing in high-tech product development: evidence from China's renewable energy sector", in R.M. Locke and R.L. Wellhausen (eds.), *Production in the Innovation Economy*, The MIT Task Force on Production and Innovation, MIT press, Cambridge (MA), pp. 139-174.

OECD, 2006 DAC Guidelines and Reference Series Applying Strategic Environmental Assessment: Good Practice Guidance for Development Co-operation (OECD, Paris).

OECD, 2012 Good Governance, Synthesis Report for the condition for success 1, Report by Aziza Akhmouch (OECD, Paris).

Ózerdem A. and Jacoby T. (2006), *Disaster Management and Civil Society: earthquake relief in Japan, Turkey and India*, I.B. Tauris, New York.

Parrilli M.D., Aranguren M.J., Larrea M. (2010), "The role of interactive learning to close the "innovation gap" in SME-based local economies: a furniture cluster in the Basque country and its key policy implications", *European Planning Studies*, 18(3), 352-370.

Perkmann, M., Tartari, V., McKelvey, M., Autio, E., Broström, A., D'Este, P., Fini, R., Geuna, A., Grimaldi, R., Hughes, A., Krabel, S., Kitson, M., Llerena, P., Lissoni, F., Salter, A., Sobrero, M. (2013). Academic engagement and commercialisation: a review of the literature on university–industry relations. *Research Policy*, 42, 423-442.

Phelps N. (2008), "Cluster or Capture? Manufacturing foreign direct investment, external economies and agglomeration", *Regional Studies*, 42(4), 457 - .

Prodi G., Frattini F., Nicolli F. (2018), "The diffusion and embeddedness of innovative activities in China", *Economia Politica*, 35, pp. 71-106.

Prodi G., Frattini F., Nicolli F. (2017), "State restructuring and subnational innovation spaces across Chinese prefectures", *Environment and Planning C. Government and Policy*, 35(1) 94–112.

Ross A. (2016), *Industries of the future*, Simon & Schuster, New York.

Trippl M., Grillitsch M., Isaksen A. (2015), "External 'energy' for regional industrial change: attraction and absorption of non-local knowledge for new path development, WP Lund University.

Trippl and Todling, 2008 in *Handbook of research on clusters: cases of Styria and Wales on effects of FDI on old regions renewal*

Wrobel M. (2008), "Clusters and networks... their spell has by no means been broken!", in Blien U., G. Maier (eds.), *The Economics of Regional Clusters. Networks, Technology and Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 235-264.

Yang C. (2015), "Government policy change and evolution of regional innovation systems in China: evidence from strategic emerging industries in Shenzhen", *Environment and Planning C. Government and Policy*, 33, 661-682.

Ylä-Anttila P. e Palmberg C. (2007), "Economic and Industrial Policy Transformations in Finland", *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 7, 169-187.